Southwest Regional Partnership on Carbon Sequestration

Quarterly Progress Report

Reporting Period: April 1, 2018–June 30, 2018

Brian McPherson, PI, and Robert Balch, PI/Project Director

DE- FC26-05NT42591

Recipient: New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology 801 Leroy Place Socorro, New Mexico 87801

Table of Contents	
Table of Contents	2
List of Figures and Tables	
Executive Summary	4
TASK 2 Public Outreach and Education	5
Subtask 2.2 Project Website	
Subtask 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface Monitoring Subtask 6.2 Subsurface Monitoring Subtask 6.3 Seismic Activities Subtask 6.4 Reservoir Modeling Subtask 6.5 Risk Assessment TASK 8 Project Management and Oversight	
Cost Status	
Anticipated Delays	44
Significant Achievements	44

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1. A map view of the CO ₂ surface flux measurements locations. No measurements were taken during the quarter January 1 through March 31, 2018 (Q42)
Figure 2. Completed eddy flux tower installation located just immediately north of the 13-10A data shed9
Figure 3. Normal grid connections for structured grid (right), and revised grid connections for z- slip grids
Figure 4. Oil production profiles including rate (left) and volume (right) for various scenarios including optimized Case
Figure 5. CO_2 volume profiles including amount of CO_2 purchased (black), recycle gas (red) and amount of CO_2 stored (orange) for Case 2B (left) and optimized case (right) prediction cases17
Figure 6. Two types of Morrow B sandstone were used in this study. All experiments were conducted at reservoir conditions of 71°C and 290 bar
Figure 7. Variation in fluid chemistry during experiments on ankerite-siderite cemented sandstone
Figure 8. Variation in fluid chemistry collected during experiments on calcite-cemented sandstone
Figure 9. X-ray CT images of calcite-cemented sample before and after experiments with CO2- saturated brine (top) and brine-only (below)
Figure 10. Variation of dynamic elastic moduli. Experiments 1,2, and 3 are on the ankerite- siderite-cemented sandstone and 4,5, and 6 on the calcite-cemented sandstone. Generally, greater changes are seen in the calcite-cemented sandstone and in both samples in those which had been exposed to higher flow rates of CO ₂ -saturated brine
Figure 11. CO ₂ leakage predicted by NRAP IAM CS
Figure 12. Brine leakage predicted by NRAP IAM CS
Table 1. Summary of CO2 Material Balance 11
Table 2. Summary of Results for Various Prediction Cases Including CO2 Flood History Recovery Process 16
Table 3. Project Budget and Expenditures for Quarter 43, April–June 2018

Table 4. Milestones for Budget Period 3. Table 4 divided into 4A, (Critical Milestones) and 4B (Technical milestones that may or may not be path-critical) (Quarters of Federal Fiscal Year)...37

Executive Summary

Task 2–Public Outreach and Education: SWP continued to support the Domain Name System (DNS) and registration of the SWP Internet presence. The project team also continued improvements to the MVA data website to allow for more secure and user-friendly SWP-wide access. Researchers continued to maintain SWP-Velo with no significant bugs reported.

Task 6-Operational Monitoring and Modeling: the MVA Database was maintained and updated. In 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface: A critical milestone for this Task was achieved with the installation of the eddy flux tower. CO₂ and water samples were not taken, and no gravity measurements were made. In 6.2 Subsurface: CO₂ accounting resumed. Tracer test analysis was ongoing, and researchers continued analysis of CMR logs from well #13-10A prior to CO₂ injection. In 6.3 Seismic: velocity data were estimated from Killingsworth well data and analysis of timelapse seismic data from FWU continued. In 6.4 Reservoir Modeling: Researchers focused on building and executing a STOMP three-phase reactive transport model for a five-spot well pattern based on Farnsworth Morrow B formation water chemistry and mineralogy. Tracer transport simulations of the 1-3-6-NSDA tracer with STOMP-EOR for the 13-10a five spot pattern were completed. Performance assessment of CO₂ storage and oil recovery within the FWU continued, using the updated forecast model. Researchers continued to work on multiphase flow characterization, with flow-through core experiments, wellbore leakage analysis with a slightly modified model developed by SWP, and a new approach to relative permeability relationships with MICP capillary pressure data. Analysis of noble gas data continued to progress. In 6.5 Risk Assessment: Work progressed with NRAP IAM CS to estimate leakage risk from wellbores. Work on the Caprock Integrity Report continued. Column experiment preparations began. The Risk Group authored a number of publications and presentations.

Task 8–Project Management and Oversight: The contract with Perdure Petroleum, new owners of the FWU, was finalized. Data from the fourth VSP survey was processed and delivered in June. Preparation for the BP3 review and the BP4 Workplan occupied significant time from personnel around the Partnership. SWP met with DOE in Pittsburgh on June 6, 2018. Project PIs requested a no-cost extension for BP3 until November 30, 2018. At the end of April, the Final Report for the Risk FEP Workshop in December was submitted to SWP and the Quarterly report was submitted to DOE. Carbon accounting was completed, and the memo delivered in May. In June, the SWP Advisory Committee meeting was held and the Committee was updated on BP3 activities and BP4 plans. All Working Groups progressed with book chapters. Some reevaluation of the Springer contract was done before SWP signed the Open Source agreement.