## Southwest Regional Partnership on Carbon Sequestration

Quarterly Progress Report

Reporting Period: January 1, 2018–March 31, 2018

Brian McPherson, PI, and Robert Balch, PI/Project Director

## DE- FC26-05NT42591

Recipient: New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology 801 Leroy Place Socorro, New Mexico 87801

Table of Contents	
Table of Contents	
List of Figures and Tables	
Executive Summary	
TASK 2 Public Outreach and Education	6
Subtask 2.2 Project Website TASK 6 Operational Monitoring and Modeling	
Subtask 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface Monitoring Subtask 6.2 Subsurface Monitoring Subtask 6.3 Seismic Activities Subtask 6.4 Reservoir Modeling Subtask 6.5 Risk Assessment	
Cost Status	
Anticipated Delays	
Significant Achievements	

## List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1. A map view of the CO <sub>2</sub> surface flux measurements locations. No measurements were taken during the quarter January 1 through March 31, 2018 (Q42)
Figure 2. Tracer recovery curve for FWU #8-2 (one producer from the #13-3 injection pattern). The plot shows fluid volume produced vs time (top), tracer concentration vs time (middle) and fluid injected vs time (bottom)
Figure 3. Comparison of CMR inversions using three different noise measures. The recorded and time gated data are presented on the left track. The next track over contains inversion results assuming a constant noise level across gate levels. The center inversion track presents results using assumed idealized stacking during time gating, and the far right track presents inversion results using the bootstrap algorithm. The interval is over the Morrow-B in 1310-A
Figure 4. Synthetic examples of NMR inversion incorporating 5% Perlin noise. In (a) inversions assuming idealized time gating of Gaussian noise are shown, which are prone to overfitting due to higher than expected noise levels. In (b) inversion results using a bootstrap noise measure are much more stable. In both (a) and (b) 5,000 independent inversions are run
Figure 5. Composite analysis of mean recovered model error for varying levels of Perlin noise. The example from Figure 4 is highlighted in orange
Figure 6. Preliminary tracer recovery plots (to convert to ppm multiply by 5 x 10 <sup>7</sup> )14
Figure 7. Permeability from three calcite-cemented sandstone experiments. During the experiments with $CO_2$ , permeability generally increased in one experimental core and the other core underwent less change. Permeability decreased slightly during the control experiment19
Figure 8. Outlet fluid chemistry from two calcite-cemented sandstone experiments
Figure 9. Ternary diagram of quantitative analysis on two types of cements. Cement from calcite-cement sandstone is marked as red, and cement from ankerite-siderite cemented sandstone is marked as black
Figure 10. Relative permeability and hysteresis (drainage) curves for cores from hydraulic flow units 2 and 5
Figure 11. Relative permeability relationship from the history-matched simulations
Figure 12. Relative permeability relationship measured by the Petroleum University22
Figure 13. Fluid in place, cumulative CO <sub>2</sub> (gas) injection, and the pressure across the field plotted through time
Figure 14. UNOCAL relative permeability relationship was used in this model permutation. Shown here is the three-phase saturation map at the end of the simulation
Figure 15. University of Petroleum relative permeability relationship PU1 showing the three-phase saturation map at the end of the simulation
Figure 16. PetraSim interface

Table 1. Summary of Results for Various Prediction Cases Including CO2 Flood H	listory
Recovery Process	17
Table 2. Project Budget and Expenditures for Quarter 42, January-March 2018	35
Table 3. Milestones for Budget Period 3. Table 5 divided into 5A, (Critical Milestones) and	nd 5B
(Technical milestones that may or may not be path-critical) (Quarters of Federal Fiscal Year	r)36

## **Executive Summary**

*Task 2–Public Outreach and Education:* SWP continued to support the Domain Name System (DNS) and registration of the SWP Internet presence. The project team also continued improvements to the MVA data website to allow for more secure and user-friendly SWP-wide access. Researchers continued to maintain SWP-Velo and to implement small changes to the system at the request of the SWP community of users.

Task 6-Operational Monitoring and Modeling: the MVA Database was maintained and updated. In 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface: Researchers maintained the continuous SP monitoring system at WH1310 and retrieved the data recorded on site in January, removing damaged SP equipment. CO<sub>2</sub> soil flux data was not taken during Q42. Water samples were not collected so there was no general water chemistry analysis. In March, SWP personnel visited FWU to drop off GOST tubes and perform inventory. Sampling contractors were apprised of the need to sample regularly. In 6.2 Subsurface: SWP did not receive sufficient CO<sub>2</sub> storage summary data to make a full analysis, but injection volumes were on trend with the previous quarter. SWP continued analysis of samples for aqueous and vapor-phase tracers, and composed scripts to assist with data analysis and interpretation for each production well. A micro CT image of a plug of the Morrow-B was acquired to complement existing work. Researchers worked on analysis of the CMR logs completed in well #13-10A prior to CO<sub>2</sub> injection. In 6.3 Seismic: Reprocessed seismic data was tied to 13-10A, 13-14, and 32-8 with a high degree of confidence; horizons from previous research were re-interpreted on the new reprocessed 3D surface seismic data; edge detection seismic attributes were generated on the Morrow B and deeper horizons and the new borehole geophone array was tested. Work continued on analysis of FWU time-lapse seismic data. In 6.4 Reservoir Modeling: work continued on three-phase reactive transport simulations for a five-spot pattern based on FWU geology and fluid chemistry. Progress continued with history matching conducted on the current SWP 2017 static model, assessing CO<sub>2</sub> storage and oil recovery within the FWU, with various prediction cases. Relative permeability studies progressed on fluid rock interaction experiments and oil-brine steady state experiments, as well as simulations comparing field-scale to lab-measured relative permeability. Analysis of noble gas data continued and researchers worked on data to be used in the geomodels. In 6.5 Risk Assessment: researchers continued to assess NRAP tools to quantify the AoR and risk of leakage at FWU. Researchers completed a series of quantitative assessments of potential risks to the Ogallala aquifer due to FWU CO<sub>2</sub> and brine leakage. Progress continued on the Caprock Integrity Report, and on the caprock integrity analysis model.

**Task 8–Project Management and Oversight:** By the beginning of Q42 the 3D VSP repeat seismic at FWU on the 13-10a well had been completed and data sent to the processors; **this meets a Q1 milestone**. The new passive seismic array for 13-10 and the eddy covariance tower were ready to deploy but SWP continued to wait on final agreements with Schlumberger and Tabula Rasa, the site operator. At the end of the quarter there was still no new field agreement. Work progressed on a new contract with Schlumberger, to address termination of the SCS contract. Work on book chapters continued, while SWP renegotiated the book contract for open access. In February, SWP announced a new hire for the project, for microseismic studies, model building, geological, and geophysical interpretation. Preparations for Budget Period 4 statement of work and budget began, with all groups working on BP4 applications.