Southwest Regional Partnership on Carbon Sequestration

Quarterly Progress Report

Reporting Period: July1-September 30, 2016

Brian McPherson, PI, and Robert Balch, PI/Project Director

DE- FC26-05NT42591

Recipient: New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology 801 Leroy Place Socorro, New Mexico 87801

Table of Contents	
Table of Contents	2
List of Figures and Tables	
Executive Summary	6
TASK 2 Public Outreach and Education	7
Subtask 2.2 Project Website	7
TASK 6 Operational Monitoring and Modeling	7
Subtask 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface Monitoring	
Subtask 6.2 Subsurface Monitoring	
Subtask 6.3 Seismic Activities	
Subtask 6.5 Risk Assessment	
TASK 8 Project Management and Oversight	67
Cost Status	
Anticipated Delays	
Significant Achievements	
APPENDICES	

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1. A map view of the CO ₂ surface flux measurement locations	
Figure 2. Daily boxplots for wind speeds recorded at FWU	
Figure 3. Daytime and nighttime wind roses	
Figure 4. Hourly averages of CO ₂ (upper-orange) and CH ₄ (lower-green) over data collection period	
Figure 5. CBPFs of CO ₂ and CH ₄ of entire dataset	
Figure 6. CO ₂ and CH ₄ CBPFs weekend daytime	
Figure 7. CO ₂ and CH ₄ CBPFs weekday daytime	
Figure 8. CO ₂ and CH ₄ CBPFs for 5am–9am	
Figure 9. NDS aqueous-phase tracer results from early July, for the October 2015 injection into FWU well #14-1. Breakthrough is likely occurring only in well #20-8 since late March 2016. Despite some minor increases in tracer concentration for the other production wells, this is unlikely due to breakthrough	
Figure 10. 2,7-NDS aqueous-phase tracer results from mid-August, for the October 2015 injection into FWU well #14-1. Breakthrough is likely occurring only in well #20-8 (shown) since late March 2016. The other wells do not show any significant sign of breakthrough24	
Figure 11. 2,7-NDS aqueous-phase tracer results from mid-September, for the October 2015 injection into FWU well #14-1. Breakthrough is likely occurring only in well #20-8 (purple) since late March 2016. The other wells do not show any significant sign of breakthrough25	
Figure 12. Timeline of tracer concentrations (PECH; vertical axis) for each of the sample days (horizontal axis). The colored vertical bars are the different sampling wells	
Figure 13. PECH trace return curve for well #8-1, with concentrations in fL/L. Note the tracer concentration recovery spikes with background levels before and after	
Figure 14. Well 13-10A baseline survey CIP gathers with color coded angle of incidence overlay. Angle range for color bar is between zero and 50 degrees	
Figure 15. Well 13-10A Baseline inverted Vp profile. 13-10A source locations used in inversion are displayed as circles. Log Vp is displayed over the well as reference	
Figure 16. History-matched waterflood model developed by SWP researchers, converted to a forward simulation CO ₂ -EOR model	
Figure 17. Coarse-grid Western Half Flow Model (WHFM)	
Figure 18. Gas and water injection rate for well #13-10A with the gas injection rates highlighted.32	2
Figure 19. Injection totals for water and CO ₂ for the CO ₂ -EOR model, uncalibrated WHFM and the calibrated WHFM	
Figure 20. Production totals for water and oil for the NMT CO ₂ -EOR model, un-calibrated WHFM and the calibrated WHFM	

Figure 21. Example comparison of NMR simulations with measurements. Data shown (in grey) are actual borehole NMR measurements in a two-phase porous-media system (ice-water), which serves as a good proxy for CO₂. Random walk simulations on a CT image are shown as solid Figure 22. Subset view of CT data (a) from the Rock 4 sample imaged by Sandia National Labs from wellbore #13-10A. In (b) the extracted pore grain is shown, with voids shown in white and Figure 23. A normalized histogram of a subset from 6.4.x is shown in (a) along with threshold point differentiating pore from void. This value was based on the moments of the PDF. A Figure 24. A subset of the pore matrix, thresholded to show only pore space. This image Figure 25. Composite images from random walk simulations. Each cube corresponds to an image subset (e.g. Figure 24). The NMR properties are known to correspond to fluid flow properties as Figure 27. Production and injection profiles of history-matched model compared to observed Figure 28. 3D distribution of pressure and saturations at the end of history match (July 31, 2016).42 Figure 29. Predicted changes in abundance (mol/L) after 13 years of simulation time for (a) Figure 30. Relative permeability curves for drainage and imbibition processes in the water-oil Figure 31. Relative permeability curves for drainage and imbibition processes in the oil-gas Figure 32. Simulated field pressure with various hysteresis and three-phase relative permeability Figure 33. Simulated field gas injection cumulative with various hysteresis and three-phase Figure 34. Simulated field oil production rate with various hysteresis and three-phase relative Figure 35. The simulated profiles of gas saturation at the end of water-alternating-CO₂ injection (Year 2025, top) and the end of monitoring period (Year 2075, bottom) for Realization No. 54.49 Figure 36. The simulated profiles of oil saturation at the end of water-alternating-CO₂ injection (Year 2025, top) and the end of monitoring period (Year 2075, bottom) for Realization No. 54.50 Figure 37. The simulated profiles of water saturation at the end of water-alternating-CO₂ injection (Year 2025, top) and the end of monitoring period (Year 2075, bottom) for Realization

Figure 39. Hermite polynomial basis functions (0 to 5 th order)
Figure 40. ROMs regression fit of a selected cell for (a) CO ₂ trapped in supercritical phase; and (b) CO ₂ trapped by oil dissolution trapping
Figure 41. CDF plots for mass of CO ₂ trapped by hydrodynamic trapping, oil dissolution rapping, aqueous dissolution trapping, and total CO ₂ storage
Figure 42. Calcite volume fraction changes in 100-year simulation time (reservoir Sg 0.3, reservoir pressure 23.1 MPa): (a) 0 year; (b) 10 year; (c) 30 year; (d) 100 year
Figure 43. Porosity changes in 100-year simulation time (reservoir Sg 0.3, reservoir pressure 23.1 MPa): (a) 0 year; (b) 10 year; (c) 30 year; (d) 100 year
Figure 44. Parameter changes during 1,000 years with 30-year CO ₂ injection60
Figure 45. Parameter changes during 1,000 years with 50-year CO ₂ injection
Figure 46. Arsenic concentration changes with the batch experiments
Figure 47. Simulated arsenic concentration changes
Figure 48. Color contours of 1,3,6-NTS aqueous concentrations
Figure 49. The convergence test of the MC simulations. The mean of the major output variables are converged at about 850 realizations
Figure 50. Fitting results for arsenic concentrations and pH for saline background and saline + CO ₂ batch experiments
Fable 1. CO2 Surface Flux Data9
Fable 2. Statistical Summary of Data Collected at FWU, Summer 2015
Γable 3. CO2 Storage Summary
Γable 4. Produced Water Analyses from FWU Wells
Fable 5. Water Sample Analysis for Samples Collected July 12, 2016 22
Fable 6. MMP and Corresponding Oil Recoveries for FWU Oil
Fable 7. Statistical Analysis of CO2 Storage by Three Trapping Mechanisms Predicted byEclipse Simulations
Fable 8. Variogram Parameters of Porosity and Permeability 52
Fable 9. Initial Brine Chemistry 58
Fable 10. Initial Mineral Abundance 58
Γable 11. Mineralogy of the Chimayo Sand Sample (weight %)61
Table 12. Project Budget and Expenditures for the Quarter July 1–September 30, 2016
Fable 13. Milestone Plan Status (Quarters of Federal Fiscal Year) 72

Executive Summary

Task 2–Public Outreach and Education: The project team continued to assist with hosting and maintenance of the website, including continued improvements to the MVA data website. Researchers continued to participate and record/catalog the weekly SWP Science teleconferences and discussions. The Meetings website was updated for the upcoming 2016 SWP Annual Review meeting in October (Quarter 37) with meeting information and a registration page.

Task 6-Operational Monitoring and Modeling: Researchers continued to incorporate new data into the MVA Database. Data collected May 21-June 12, 2015 with the Picarro CRDS and 3-D anemometer were analyzed. In 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface: Gravity data measurements, CO2 surface flux measurements and water sample analyses were performed and work continued on eddy flux research. In 6.2 Subsurface: CO₂ storage summaries continued and breakthrough continued in Well 20-8, the only production well in the sampling pattern yielding elevated concentrations for the 2,7nds tracer. Lab results for PMCH and PECH tracers collected from the May 2016 injections indicated breakthrough on a number of wells from the two injections (#13-1 and #13-3) shortly after the May 4 injections. Flow patterns suggest a flow system strongly influenced by faults mapped near the #13-1 and #13-3 patterns, but more analysis is needed. In 6.3 Seismic: pre-planning was underway for the repeat 3D VSP in FWU 13-10A, and for crosswell tomography from FWU 16-02 to FWU 16-03, for late November 2016. Amplitude Versus Angle (AVA) regression and Elastic Full Waveform Inversion (eFWI) were tested using 3D VSP baseline and monitor datasets. In 6.4 Reservoir Modeling: Researchers continued to analyze three-phase relative permeability relationships. The FWU preliminary history matching and prediction geologic model presented to researchers last quarter was improved using the currently constructed static model with increased grid resolution, addition of faults and better petrophysical modeling. Researchers began its conversion to a forward simulation CO₂-EOR model, and also continued developing a suite of pore-scale modeling capabilities. Other characterization efforts involved transitioning reactive transport simulations from TOUGHREACT to STOMP, fracture alteration and fluid flow processes, and MMP analysis for Farnsworth oil. In 6.5 Risk Assessment: Researchers continued to apply PCE in the uncertainty quantification and probabilistic risk assessment of CO₂ sequestration and oil recovery. They evaluated impacts of hysteresis and determined polynomial basis functions based on porosity and permeability. CDFs and uncertainty bounds of model outputs were estimated based on ROMs. Researchers also worked on CO₂-cement interaction with a 2-D model for the FWU, including potential chemical/mineral reactions with CO₂ injection and its impacts on a generic sandstone formation and arsenic mobilization in shallow groundwater with CO₂ leakage. Other research included applying the STOMP-EOR simulator to the FWU #13-10A aqueous tracer tests, and risk analysis of FEPS with CO2-PENS-PSUADE. Work also progressed on caprock analysis and multiphase flow characterization.

Task 8–Project Management and Oversight: Fieldwork included sampling and maintenance. Researchers met with Chaparral and planning for repeat 3D VSP and additional cross-well tomography for a new pattern. Grid issues with the geologic model were resolved, and preparation was underway for the SWP Annual Project Review. The 2016 Risk Assessment Review was held in September. SWP researchers presented project status at the NETL Annual Meeting and connected with the NETL tracer sampling team. Reactive transport modeling was successful for one well for 100 years, and simulation work on tracer study results was initiated.