Southwest Regional Partnership on Carbon Sequestration

Quarterly Progress Report

Reporting Period: April 1-June 30, 2016

Brian McPherson, PI, and Robert Balch, PI/Project Director

DE- FC26-05NT42591

Recipient: New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology

801 Leroy Place

Socorro, New Mexico 87801

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
List of Figures and Tables	3
Executive Summary	5
TASK 1 Regional Characterization	6
1.4 Continued Assessment	6
TASK 2 Public Outreach and Education	6
Subtask 2.2 Project Website	6
TASK 6 Operational Monitoring and Modeling	9
Subtask 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface Monitoring	9
Subtask 6.2 Subsurface Monitoring	13
Subtask 6.3 Seismic Activities	20
Subtask 6.4 Reservoir Modeling.	
Subtask 6.5 Risk Assessment	28
TASK 8 Project Management and Oversight	40
Cost Status	45
Anticipated Delays	51
APPENDICES	51

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1. A map view of the CO ₂ surface flux measurement locations1
Figure 2. Aqueous-phase tracer results from the four production wells surrounding injection well4-1. As of mid-April, there was little indication of tracer breakthrough
Figure 3. Map of the FWU, showing the two wells (#13-01 and #13-03) where the next round of PFT injections took place in early May 2016. The patterns are potentially truncated by the mapped faults (pink, blue and green lines) and may yield fluid migration data influenced by thes fractures. PFT sample wells are highlighted in green and have already started high frequence (every other day) sampling.
Figure 4. 2,7-NDS aqueous-phase tracer results from late May 2016, for the October 201 injection into FWU well #14-1. Breakthrough likely began occurring in Well #20-8 by lat March 2016.
Figure 5. UCO2 plot represents purchased CO ₂ (blue) profile in Ib-mol/d from 2015 to 2036 UCO2I (black) is the amount of gas available for injection in Ib-mol/d. The red curve represent the actual gas injection rate for baseline case. It is clear that a lot of available CO ₂ is actually no fully utilized, which could be due to operating at non-optimized strategy
Figure 6. Tornado plot ranking of uncertain parameters as a function of defined objective function. The x-axis represents uncertain parameters and y-axis represents multi-objective function
Figure 7. Predicted albite concentration in the Morrow B Sandstone after 10 years of CO injection using (a) STOMP and (b) TOUGHREACT
Figure 8. 2015 FWU full field model showing the permeability field and fault model2
Figure 9. Example of an angular discrete element and its characteristic physical properties. Pack of large number of grains can be used to synthesize core
Figure 10. 2-D model setup for CO ₂ –cement interaction.
Figure 11. One-realization random field of porosity and permeability generated using SGSIM i Petrel
Figure 12. The injection and production rates at selected wells for Realization 8
Figure 13. The simulated profiles of oil, gas, and water saturation for Realization 8 at the end of water-alternating-CO ₂ injection (Year 2025)
Figure 14. The simulated profiles of oil, gas, and water saturation for Realization 8 at the end of the monitoring period (Year 2075).
Figure 15. The injection and production rates at selected wells for Realization No. 543
Figure 16. The simulated profiles of oil, gas, and water saturation at the end of water-alternating CO ₂ injection (Year 2025) for Realization No. 54
Figure 17. The simulated profiles of oil, gas, and water saturation at the end of the monitorin period (Year 2075) for Realization No. 54

Table 1. CO ₂ Surface Flux Data	10
Table 2. Summary of CO ₂ Storage at FWU	14
Table 3. Water Sample Analysis for Samples Collected April 2016	16
Table 4. Selected Control Variables after Sensitivity Analysis Used for Optimization	23
Table 5. Summary of Comparison Between Baseline Case and Genetic Optimization Case	24
Table 6. Project Budget and Expenditures for the Quarter April 1–June 30, 2016	46
Table 7. Milestone Plan Status (Quarters of Federal Fiscal Year)	47

Executive Summary

Task 1–Regional Characterization: work on the Arbuckle Group part of the project was completed, with all goals met. Volumetric free- reservoir space in the Arbuckle at the projected depth of 3,000–13,000 feet could not be satisfactorily determined due to the vagueness of in-situ porosity at these depths.

Task 2-Public Outreach and Education: Researchers converted the self-hosted SWP website to the public-facing website and the commercial contract was terminated. The MVA data website was improved, for more secure and user-friendly SWP-wide access. Many GIS/mapping aspects of the MVA task were updated. The Alfresco-based version of SWP-Velo was completed and released to the SWP.

Task 6-Operational Monitoring and Modeling: Researchers continued to refine the MVA database. Processing was nearly completed on incorporating up-to-date FWU production/injection data into the MVA database. In 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface: CO2 surface flux measurements and water sample analysis were performed and work continued on eddy flux research. In 6.2 Subsurface: CO₂ storage summaries resumed and breakthrough appeared in Well 20-8. Two separate PFT tracers were injected into wells 13-1 and 13-3. In 6.3 Seismic: results of the VSP Inversion Study were finalized and researchers worked on repairing the real-time data acquisition system, which had been damaged. In 6.4 Reservoir Modeling: several important modeling advances were made, including Petroleum System Modeling in the Northwest Anadarko Basin, the 3D, VSP-based detailed geologic model, fluid substitution modeling to determine the impact of CO₂ injection on seismic response for 3D VSP surveys, and completion of the new geologic model incorporating facies work and hydraulic flow units. Researchers began the 2016 annual review of RISK. Work progressed on the numerical optimization model for improving FWU CO₂ storage and oil recovery predictions. Researchers worked on mapping previously identified hydraulic flow units with the core. Work also proceeded on improving TOUGHREACT and STOMP reactive transport simulations and researchers began gathering data for a history-matching project using the new FWU geomodel. In 6.5 Risk Assessment: researchers studied forecast uncertainty for sequestered CO₂ in a generic 3-D CO₂-EOR reservoir, based on results from 12 alternative models and 1000 Monte Carlo simulations for each alternative model. They worked on sensitivity analysis of the impacts of diffusivity on CO₂-cement interaction with a 2-D conceptual model to analyze the impacts of reservoir pressure and CO₂ phase. Researchers adopted the newly upscaled history-matched reservoir models as the base model of multiplerealization reservoir simulations for PCE implementation. Work progressed on STOMP-EOR with verification testing, and researchers continued risk analysis on FEPs at FWU. Researchers continued conducting relative permeability testing for use in simulations, and working on caprock analysis.

Task 8–Project Management and Oversight: Fieldwork included sampling, maintenance, and tracer injection. NETL data analysis on the gas phase tracers began to be caught up. Data management plan results were delivered to SWP researchers. The new version of VELO was completed and presented, and a survey was initiated. The first meeting of the SWP Advisory Board was held via WebEx, with all advisory board members participating. An overview of the project was presented, with details on how to access project information. The Q & A session was vigorous, and the board planned to vote on a chair, and begin providing feedback to SWP. Retiring Project Director Robert Lee sent a letter to DOE recommending a contract modification making Robert Balch his replacement as Project Director.

TASK 1 Regional Characterization

1.4 Continued Assessment

Arbuckle Group

During this period, work on the Arbuckle Group part of the project was completed, with all goals met. Volumetric free- reservoir space in the Arbuckle at the projected depth of 3,000–13,000 feet could not be satisfactorily determined due to the vagueness of in-situ porosity at these depths.

TASK 2 Public Outreach and Education

Subtask 2.2 Project Website

Website Maintenance

Throughout the quarter, researchers continued assisting with the Domain Name System (DNS) and registration of the SWP Internet presence. In May, the project team converted the self-hosted "clone" of the SWP website to the public-facing website by re-configuring the DNS from the commercial web hosting service to a server belonging to a SWP Partner at UU. The commercial contract was terminated. The main SWP website now has a login option for SWP personnel. The "backend" site contains SWP materials that do not necessarily warrant public viewing (teleconference recordings, notes, publications, presentations, budgets, and other project management documents). All SWP affiliated websites now have authenticated SSL Certificates for maximum security.

The project team also continued improvements to the MVA data website to allow for more secure and user-friendly SWP-wide access.

Many GIS/mapping aspects of the MVA task and other aspects of the project were updated, including:

- Updated satellite photos for FWU and surrounding region.
- Digitization of CELLC FWU feed and production pipelines.
- Updated tracer injection and sampling wells.