Southwest Regional Partnership on Carbon Sequestration

Quarterly Progress Report

Reporting Period: January 1, 2014–March 31, 2014

Reid Grigg, PI, Brian McPherson, PI, and Robert Lee, Project Manager

DE- FC26-05NT42591

Recipient: New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology

801 Leroy Place

Socorro, New Mexico 87801

Table of Contents

Table of Contents	2
List of Figures and Tables	4
Table 4. CO ₂ Storage	4
Executive Summary	5
TASK 1 Regional Characterization	6
Arbuckle Formation	6
TASK 2 Public Outreach and Education	7
Subtask 2.2 Project Website	7
Task 4 Site Characterization and Planning	8
Subtask 4.1 Existing Data Gathering and Interpretation	8
Subtask 4.3 Initial Reservoir Model Development	10
STOMP-EOR	10
Other Modeling Activities	11
Subtask 4.4 Initial Risk Assessment	12
CO ₂ -PENS-PSUADE	12
Subtask 4.5 Site Work Plans	13
Task 5 Well Drilling and Completion	13
Task 6.0 Operational Monitoring and Modeling	
Subtask 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface Monitoring	16
Eddy Covariance Research	16
CO2 Surface Flux Monitoring	16
Subtask 6.2 Subsurface Monitoring	25
Injection and Production Data	25
Accounting and Verification of Injected CO ₂ Storage	28
Table 4. CO ₂ Storage	28
Groundwater Geomechanical Modeling	28
Water Sample Analysis	31
Subtask 6.3 Seismic Activities	35
Field Activities—Seismic Surveys	35
Super Conducting Gravimeter	36
Geophones	37
Subtask 6.4 Reservoir Modeling	38
Flow Model	38
3D Seismic Interpretation for FWU	42
Task 8 Project Management and Oversight	48

	Field Activities	48
	Data Shed	48
	Meetings and Workshops	49
	Summary of Other Activities	
Cos	t Status	51
Sun	nmary of Significant Accomplishments	59
Ant	icipated Delays	59
	hnology Transfer	
	PENDICES	

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Simplified illustration of a five-spot CO ₂ -EOR pattern and the model permeabitributions.	
Figure 2. A map view of the CO ₂ surface flux measurements locations.	17
Figure 3. Gas injection (yellow bubbles), oil production (red bubbles), and locations of to (2014) injection wells (white triangles).	
Figure 4. Gas injection (yellow bubbles), gas production (green bubbles), and locations of (2014) injection wells (white triangles).	
Figure 5. TOUGHREACT grid with cells color coded by rock type defined according to ty.	-
Figure 6. TOUGHREACT simulation showing the pressure field and velocity vectors for gle phase aqueous fluid based on the geologic model and numerical grid in Figure 5	
Figure 7. TOUGHREACT simulation showing CO2 fraction after 100 years	30
Figure 8. 3-D View of porosity distribution for Farnsworth field.	39
Figure 9. 3-D View of permeability distribution for Farnsworth field.	39
Figure 10. Field pressure profile from simulation.	40
Figure 11. Field oil production from simulation	40
Figure 12. Field gas production from simulation	41
Figure 13. Field gas-oil ratio from simulation.	41
Figure 14. Field water-cut from simulation.	42
Figure 15. Checkshot calibration of the sonic.	44
Figure 16. Track 1 shows formation density in blue and calibrated sonic in black	45
Figure 17. Compressional sonic on left with well tops. Seismic on right with well 13-10a	
section.	
Figure 18. Velocity model output.	
Table 1. Core Sampling Plans for the FWU 13-10A Well (per Feb. 6 2014 Memo)	
Table 2. CO ₂ Surface Flux Collected on January 15, 2014	16
Table 3. CO ₂ Surface Flux Collected on March 13, 2014	
Table 4. CO ₂ Storage	
Table 5. January 2014 Water Samples Chemical Analyses	
Table 6. February 2014 Water Samples Chemical Analyses	
Table 7. March 2014 Water Samples Chemical Analyses	
Table 8a. Project Budget and Expenditures for the Quarter	
Table 8b. Other Invoices Not Included in Table 8a	
Table 9. Milestone Plan Status	54

Executive Summary

Tasks addressed in this quarter were Tasks 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, and 8.

Task 1-Regional Characterization: SWP finalized its contributions to the NATCARB Atlas V for the GIS section. Using the revised Arbuckle database, researchers prepared a map depicting the depth to the top of the Arbuckle (versus subsurface structure) and continued acquisition of bottomhole temperature data from deep wells in Oklahoma.

Task 2–Public Outreach and Education: Project Website: maintenance and upgrades for STOMP-EOR were performed.

Task 4–Site Characterization and Planning: Core analysis researchers visited TerraTek in Salt Lake City, to observe Farnsworth core, observing and documenting the rock type or lithofacies, and obtaining preliminary descriptions of fractures. Highlights for STOMP-EOR included: 1) implementation of a new solution algorithm for the EOS routines, 2) demonstration of the new EOS routines in STOMP-EOR on a 11-component model of the Farnsworth oil, and 3) development of fully coupled injection and production well models. In other modeling activities, researchers worked on numerical simulations of the relative permeability models and simulated the geochemical reactions of CO₂-rock interactions in the Upper Morrow formation (storage reservoir) and the Thirteen Finger formation (caprock) in the Farnsworth EOR field. Work with the risk assessment software CO₂-PENS with PSUADE progressed. Risk Workplan final completion outline was finished.

Task 5–Well Drilling and Completion: The first and second characterization wells at the FWU were drilled, cored, logged and completed.

Task 6-Operational Monitoring and Modeling: Work progressed on a number of fronts. Researchers worked on the design for the eddy covariance field deployment for the FWU and on the methane release monitoring project on the UU campus, including calibration of wind direction data. CO2 surface flux data and water samples were collected each month at the FWU, and analyzed. Injection and production data for the FWU was analyzed, and CO2 storage monthly totals were updated. Groundwater geomechanical modeling work continued, focusing on building an initial TOUGHREACT model of the Morrow Sandstone. In seismic activities, the baseline 3D VSP and crosswell seismic survey for characterization wells 1310A and 1314 (west side of FWU) were completed. Maintenance of the super conducting gravimeter at the FWU was conducted in January. Geophone data (VSP shot points) were analyzed with a velocity model generated using sonic log data from well 1310A. A flow model for the FWU continued in development, and 3D seismic interpretation was performed.

Task 8–Project Management: A number of meetings and workshops were planned early in the quarter, and carried out later in the quarter. A presentation with questions and answers was given at the Chaparral Office in OKC for Chaparral and SWP by Oklahoma State University researchers on March 12, 2014. They presented their project to test CCUS monitoring techniques at a field site; specifically, performing tests at the FWU. SWP was represented at a NRAP Stakeholders meeting and responses to the IEA Expert Review comments to the FWU Project were submitted.