Southwest Regional Partnership on Carbon Sequestration

Quarterly Progress Report

Reporting Period: January 1-March 31, 2016

Robert Balch, PI, Brian McPherson, PI, and Robert Lee, Project Director

DE- FC26-05NT42591

Recipient: New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology 801 Leroy Place Socorro, New Mexico 87801

Table of Contents	
Table of Contents	2
List of Figures and Tables	
Executive Summary	
TASK 1 Regional Characterization	
1.4 Continued Assessment	
TASK 2 Public Outreach and Education	5
Subtask 2.2 Project Website TASK 6 Operational Monitoring and Modeling	
Subtask 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface Monitoring	
Subtask 6.2 Subsurface Monitoring	
Subtask 6.3 Seismic Activities	
Subtask 6.4 Reservoir Modeling	
6.5 Risk Assessment	
TASK 8 Project Management and Oversight	
Cost Status	
Summary of Significant Accomplishments	
Anticipated Delays	
APPENDICES	

List of Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Box-and-whisker plot of pH from the FWU groundwater sampling wells. This and other USDW chemistry plots and data tables are hosted on the SWP MVA data website
Figure 2. A map view of the CO ₂ surface flux measurement locations9
Figure 3. Corrected box-and-whisker plots of data collected at FWU with the Picarro EC tower. Figure 3a is CO_2 dry concentration data and 3b is CH_4 dry concentration The red line indicates 99th percentile value of each constituent. These figures were produced with the updated code that corrected for the time zone and lag time
Figure 4. Aqueous-phase tracer results from the four production wells surrounding injection well 14-1. As of mid-March, there was no indication of tracer breakthrough
Figure 5. Well 13-10A monitor survey before and after AVA regression; Well 13-10A monitor inverted Vp
Figure 6. Porosity vs permeability log from 1182 points taken from 51 FWU cores22
Figure 7. Porosity vs. permeability for five cored wells separated by pore throat size into hydraulic units
Figure 8. Initial pore pressure in TOUGHREACT simulations of the Morrow B Sandstone in the FWU
Figure 9. Fence diagrams through the Farnsworth field showing temperature after 10 years' simulated injection
Figure 10. The simulated oil production rate and cumulative oil production at well 13-6 over time
Figure 11. The simulated gas production rate and cumulative oil production at well 13-6 over time
Figure 12. The simulated water production rate and cumulative oil production at well 13-6 over time
Figure 13. The simulated cumulative water and CO ₂ injection at wells 13-1, 13-10A, 13-9, and 13-13 over time
Figure 14. The simulated oil saturation profile after 10 years of injection
Figure 15. The simulated gas saturation profile after 10 years of injection
Figure 16. The simulated water saturation profile after 10 years of injection
Figure 17. Economic risk analysis of CO ₂ enhanced oil/gas recovery at FWU

Table 1. CO2 Surface Flux Data	10
Table 2. Water Sample Analysis for Samples Collected January 2016	15
Table 3. Isotopes for Water Samples Collected January 2016 at FWU	16
Table 4. Project Budget and Expenditures for the Quarter January 1-March 31, 2016	43
Table 5. Milestone Plan Status (Quarters of Federal Fiscal Year)	44

Executive Summary

Task 1–Regional Characterization: Researchers continued to map the Arbuckle disposal site in the area where the projected depth to Arbuckle is 3,000–13,000 feet.

Task 2–Public Outreach and Education: The more modern SWP website was installed and ready to go public. Researchers also worked on developing a web-based interface for submitting manuscripts/abstracts needing review by NMT, Chaparral and DOE. Researchers continued improvements to the MVA data website to allow for more secure and user-friendly SWP-wide access. Many GIS/mapping aspects of the MVA effort were improved. Researchers continued work on requested changes to SWP-Velo to make it more user-friendly.

Task 6-Operational Monitoring and Modeling: The project team continued to refine the MVA database. In 6.1 Surface and Near-Surface: Researchers conducted fieldwork at the Farnsworth site, performing maintenance of the continuous self-potential monitoring system at WH1310 and data downloading from the weather station. CO₂ surface flux in soil and air was sampled and measured, and tracer tests were ongoing, with no breakthrough observed. Tracer sampling was reduced to twice weekly. In 6.2 Subsurface: Water samples were taken and analyzed. In 6.3 Seismic: work began on the VSP Inversion project. To optimize timing of repeat VSPs, the sensitivity of the 3D VSP surveys to CO₂ injection was analyzed to determine when a seismic response to the injected CO₂ could be observed. In 6.4 Reservoir Modeling: notable improvements in reactive transport simulation were made. It is now possible to use nine minerals, and refinements in mineral selection made it possible to simulate about one year per day of computer time. Researchers began defining hydraulic flow units to aid in development of faciesbased models. Conversion of archived micro seismic data to mini-seed format began. Researchers completed 2D basin models using wells in Farnsworth, Booker fields and 2D seismic lines samples from the re-started tracer program were sent for analysis. FWU water chemistry and minerals were applied to the reactive transport models in STOMP. Reactive transport models were found to be sensitive to CO₂ injection temperature. A local fine scale model for the area around the 13-10a injector was released to simulators. Work continued on TOUGHREACT and on converting the FWU model from STOMP to Eclipse as simulations using STOMP were abandoned due to technical issues with the code. In 6.5 Risk Assessment: Monte Carlo simulations and PCE expansion continued to be applied to risk assessment. STOMP-EOR work was focused on implementing block refinement into the OpenMPI version of the simulator. CO2-PENS-PSUADE risk analysis of FEPS continued.

Task 8–Project Management and Oversight: The SWP Advisory Board was chartered and membership confirmed. The final, official version of the Risk Report was completed and the Best Practices Manual was finalized and delivered. Planned fieldwork took place, including sample collection and routine maintenance on seismic equipment. No breakthrough was observed in the tracer project. CELLC had layoffs that required a new EOR team to work with SWP researchers. Chaparral's financial issues continued throughout the quarter. Researchers submitted a notable quantity of papers for presentation at a variety of professional meetings.

TASK 1 Regional Characterization

<u>1.4 Continued Assessment</u>

Arbuckle Group

During this period, researchers continued to map the Arbuckle disposal site in the area where the projected depth to Arbuckle is 3,000 - 13,000 feet. Future work will be to complete volumetric estimates of fluid storage in the Arbuckle Group using depth intervals of 3,000 ft to 13,000 ft.

TASK 2 Public Outreach and Education

Subtask 2.2 Project Website

Website Maintenance

During the quarter, researchers continued to facilitate the Domain Name System (DNS) and registration of the SWP Internet presence. The more modern SWP website was installed and ready to go public during the quarter, while researchers awaited the decision to shift the DNS from the current commercial host to the UU in a move to reduce costs and allow SWP personnel more direct control. An updated "clone" of the current, publicly available SWP website, was created for the purposes of discontinuing use of the commercial web hosting service when the contract is terminated. Researchers also worked to develop a web-based interface for submitting manuscripts/abstracts needing review by NMT, Chaparral and the Department of Energy. The project team also continued improvements to the MVA data website to allow for more secure and user-friendly SWP-wide access. many GIS/mapping aspects of the MVA task and greater SWP project have been updated, including:

- Updated satellite photos for FWU and surrounding region.
- Digitization of CELLC FWU feed and production pipelines.
- Updated tracer injection and sampling wells.